A 0.8-1.8 GHz Wideband Low Noise Amplifier with Capacitive Feedback

Toshihiko Ito^{#1}, Kenichi Okada^{#2}, and Akira Matsuzawa^{#3}, [#]Department of Physical Electronics, Tokyo Institute of Technology

2-12-1-S3-27, Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 152-8552, Japan ¹itot@ssc.pe.titech.ac.jp ²okada@ssc.pe.titech.ac.jp ³matsu@ssc.pe.titech.ac.jp

Abstract—This paper proposes a wideband common-gate LNA using capacitive feedback. The transconductance of the proposed LNA can be enlarged and noise figure can be improved while the conventional common-gate LNA has to use a smaller transconductance for the input impedance matching. In the experimental results using a 0.18- μ m CMOS technology, the gain is 13.4 dB, NF is 2.7 dB, IIP3 is -7 dBm at 0.8 GHz, and the power consumption is 6.5 mW with a 1.8-V supply voltage.

Index Terms-LNA, CMOS, Wideband.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless communication systems have been developed for various consumer applications, e.g., GSM/UMTS/LTE, WiFi, Bluetooth, GPS, and so on. Recently, a multi-standard RFIC is required to save footprint size in mobile terminals. To cover present wireless systems, several RFICs are required for each wireless standard, which causes increasing in total chip area, power consumption, and cost. To improve this situation, the software defined radio (SDR) is proposed in [1]. The multi-standard RF front-end for every frequency bands is needed to realize the SDR. In the multi-standard RF front-end, high performance wideband LNA is indispensable. However, it is difficult to achieve that, because there are trade-offs between some parameters, for example gain, noise, lineality, and power consumption. The LNA in [2] achieves wideband characteristics by using resistive feedback. It realizes high gain and low noise, while it consumes larger current. The LNA in [3] employs common-gate topology. This realizes low power consumption, but NF is relatively high. In this paper, low noise common-gate LNA by using capacitive feedback is proposed.

II. COMMON-GATE LNA CALCULATION

In this section, input impedance and noise factor of common-gate LNAs are analyzed.

A. Fundamental Common-Gate LNA

Fig.1(a) shows the most basic topology in common-gate LNAs. The input impedance and noise factor of this LNA can be calculated as follows:

$$Z_{\rm in} = \frac{1}{g_{\rm m}} = R_{\rm S} \tag{1}$$

$$F = 1 + \gamma \tag{2}$$



(a) Fundamental





Fig. 1. The conventional and proposed common-gate topologies.

where $g_{\rm m}$ and γ are the transconductance of M1 and the channel thermal noise coefficient, respectively. $g_{\rm m}$ and noise of the common-gate transistor are fixed due to the 50 Ω input impedance matching, so these parameters cannot be improved any more in the conventional common-gate topologies.

B. Capacitive-Cross-Couple LNA

Fig.1(b) shows the capacitive-cross-couple LNA proposed in [4]. Capacitive-cross-couple technique contributes to improve the noise of input stage. The input impedance and noise factor of this LNA can be calculated as follows:

$$Z_{\rm in} = \frac{1}{2g_{\rm m}} = R_{\rm S} \tag{3}$$

$$F = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{4g_{\rm m}R_{\rm S}} + \frac{(1 + 2g_{\rm m}R_{\rm S})^2}{4g_{\rm m}^2R_{\rm S}R_{\rm out}}$$
(4)

where $g_{\rm m}$ is the transconductance of M1 and M2. By using Eqs.(3) and (4), noise factor can be expressed as follows.



Fig. 3. Small signal equivalent circuit of the proposed LNA.

$$F = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{4R_{\rm S}}{R_{\rm out}} \tag{5}$$

Thus, capacitive-cross-couple topology achieves a lower noise factor than that of Fig.1(a). However, $g_{\rm m}$ and noise of common-gate transistor are still fixed, these parameters cannot be improved.

III. PROPOSED LNA

In this section, some trade-offs of the proposed LNA are shown. The proposed LNA employs capacitive-cross-couple technique and capacitive feedback. Fig.2 shows the schematic of the proposed LNA.

A. Input Impedance

According to Fig.1(c), the input impedance of the proposed LNA can be calculated as follows:

$$Z_{\rm in} = \frac{2g_{\rm m} + \omega^2 C^2 R_{\rm out} + j\omega (2Cg_{\rm m}R_{\rm out} - \omega^2 C^2 C_{\rm P}R_{\rm out}^2 - C_{\rm t})}{(2g_{\rm m} - \omega^2 C C_{\rm P}R_{\rm out})^2 + \omega^2 C_{\rm t}^2}$$
(6)

where $g_{\rm m}$ and ω are the transconductance of M1 and M2 and $2\pi f$, respectively. In order to simplify the calculation, the following expressions are used.

$$C_{\rm t} = C + C_{\rm P} \tag{7}$$

$$2g_{\rm m}R_{\rm out} >> 1 \tag{8}$$

The following condition for $C_{\rm P}$ has to be satisfied to make the imaginary part of $Z_{\rm in}$ zero.



Fig. 4. Calculated NF plotted versus C.



$$C_{\rm P} = \frac{2Cg_{\rm m}R_{\rm out}}{1+\omega^2 C^2 R_{\rm out}^2} \tag{9}$$

By Eqs.(6) and (9), the real part of Z_{in} can be calculated as follows:

$$Re(Z_{in}) = \frac{1 + \omega^2 C^2 R_{out}^2}{2g_{m}} = R_S$$
(10)

In order to simplify the calculation, the approximate expression below is used.

$$2g_{\rm m} \gg \omega^2 C^2 R_{\rm out} \tag{11}$$

Eq.(10) shows that capacitive feedback adds more flexibility of $g_{\rm m}$, and the noise performance can be improved by using larger $g_{\rm m}$.

B. Noise Figure

By using Fig.3, the noise factor of the proposed LNA can be calculated as follows:

$$F = 1 + \frac{\gamma g_{\rm m} (1 + \omega^2 C_{\rm P}^2 R_{\rm S}^2)}{R_{\rm S} (4g_{\rm m}^2 + \omega^2 C^2)} + \frac{(1 + 2g_{\rm m} R_{\rm S})^2 + \omega^2 (C + C_{\rm P})^2 R_{\rm S}^2}{R_{\rm S} R_{\rm out} (4g_{\rm m}^2 + \omega^2 C^2)}$$
(12)

where v_{n,R_s} , $I_{n,ch}$ and $I_{n,Rout}$ in Fig.3 are the noise of R_s , M1 and R_{out} respectively. By substituting Eq.(9) and Eq.(10) to Eq.(12), noise factor can be calculated as follows:



Fig. 6. Calculated P_{dc} plotted versus C.

$$F = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2 + \frac{\omega^2 C^2 R_{\rm S}^2}{(1 + \omega^2 C^2 R_{\rm out}^2)^2}} + \frac{R_{\rm S} (2 + \omega^2 C^2 R_{\rm out}^2)^2 + \omega^2 C^2 R_{\rm S} (R_{\rm S} + R_{\rm out})^2}{R_{\rm out} ((1 + \omega^2 C^2 R_{\rm out}^2)^2 + \omega^2 C^2 R_{\rm S}^2)} (13)$$

NF is plotted versus C in Fig.4, where $R_{\rm S}$ =50 Ω , $R_{\rm out}$ =880 Ω , γ =2/3 and f=1.2 GHz. The larger C is, the lower noise factor is. By Eq.(13), NF is saturated around 1.5dB at C=400fF. Actually, the value of C used for the proposed LNA in this paper is 36 fF.

C. Voltage Gain

By using a half equivalent circuit shown in Fig.3, voltage gain can be calculated as follows:

$$A_{\rm V} = \frac{(2g_{\rm m} + j\omega C)(R_{\rm out}//R_{\rm L})}{1 + j\omega C(R_{\rm out}//R_{\rm L})}$$
(14)

where $R_{\rm L}$ is the input impedance of mixer. Fig.5 shows the frequency characteristic of voltage gain where $R_{\rm L}$ =4 k Ω . Fig.5 means that the larger C is, the larger but less flatness of voltage gain is.

D. Power Consumption

A drain-source current of a transistor can be expressed as follows:

$$I_{\rm ds} = \frac{g_{\rm m}(V_{\rm gs} - V_{\rm th})}{2}$$
 (15)

By using Eq.(15), the power consumption of single side of the proposed LNA can be calculated as follows:

$$P_{\rm dc} = V_{\rm dd} I_{\rm ds} = \frac{g_{\rm m} V_{\rm dd} (V_{\rm gs} - V_{\rm th})}{2}$$
 (16)

where $g_{\rm m}$, $V_{\rm gs}$ and $V_{\rm th}$ is the transconductance, gate-source voltage and threshold of common-gate transistor at the input.

Eqs.(10) and (16) shows that the larger C is, the larger power consumption is. Fig.6 shows the power consumption as a function of C in Fig.6, where $V_{dd}=1.8V$, $V_{gs}=0.6V$ and $V_{th}=0.4V$. Eqs.(13), (14) and (16) show that there are trade-offs between noise, gain flatness and power consumption.



Fig. 7. The proposed LNA schematic including ESD diode.



Fig. 8. Chip photograph.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The proposed LNA schematic with ESD protection is shown in Fig. 7. External chip inductors are used as choke inductors, and $C_{\rm P}$ is implemented by ESD diode. Fig. 8 shows the die photograph of the wideband LNA. This chip is implemented by 0.18- μ m CMOS process and occupies a chip area of 0.066 mm². The measured results of S_{11} and S_{22} of the LNA are shown in Fig. 9. The measured gain and NF are given in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11, respectively. The noise figure is much lower performance than the simulation results because of the implementation loss. Fig 12 shows the output power and IM3 as a function of input power at 0.8 GHz. In the measured results, IIP3 is $-7 \, \text{dBm}$ at 0.8 GHz. The power consumption is 6.5 mW. Table I shows a performance comparison among wideband low noise amplifiers.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the wideband low noise amplifier with capacitive feedback is proposed to achieve a multi-band receiver. The capacitive feedback adds more flexibility in $g_{\rm m}$ and NF can be theoretically improved. The LNA realizes a 13.4 dB gain, 2.7 dB NF and -7 dBm IIP3.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was partially supported by Panasonic, MIC, SCOPE, MEXT, STARC, NEDO, Canon Foundation, and VDEC in collaboration with Cadence Design Systems, Inc., and Agilent Technologies Japan, Ltd.

REFERENCES

- A. Abidi, "The Path to the Software-Defined Radio Receiver," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 954–966, May 2007.
- [2] F. Bruccoleri, E. A. M. Klumperink, and B. Nauta, "Wide-Band CMOS Low-Noise Amplifier Exploiting Thermal Noise Canceling," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 275–282, Feb. 2004.

TABLE I									
PERFORMANCE COMPARISON BETWEEN	WIDEBAND LNAS.								

	This Work	[2]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]
CMOS Tech	0.18µm	0.25µm	90nm	0.18µm	0.18µm	0.13µm	0.13µm	0.18µm	0.13µm	90nm	65nm
Freq. [GHz]	0.8-1.8	0.002-1.6	1.6-28	0.11-11	2-4.6	3.1-10.6	0.8-2.1	0.3-0.92	1-7	0-6	0.1-4
Gain [dB]	13.4	13.7	10.7	9.71	9.8	16.5	14.5	21	17	17.4	24
NF [dB]	2.7 (1.9*)	2.4	2.92	2.78	2.3	2.1	2.6	2	2.7	2.5	2
IIP3 [dBm]	-7	0	4	-3.5	-7	-5.1	16	-3.2	-4.1	-8	-5.5
Pdc [mW]	6.5	35	21.6	21.6	12.6	9	17.4	3.6	25	9.8	12

*simulation







Fig. 10. Measured gain.

- [3] A. Amer, E. Hegazi, and H. Ragai, "A Low-Power Wideband CMOS LNA for WiMAX," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits And Systems*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 4–8, Jan. 2007.
- [4] W. Zhuo, S. Embabi, J. P. de Gyvez, and E. Sanchez-Sinencio, "Using Capacitive Cross-Coupling Technique in RF Low Noise Amplifiers and Down-Conversion Mixer Design," in *Proc. European Solid-State Circuits Conference*, 2000, pp. 116–119.
- [5] H.-K. Chen, Y.-S. Lin, and S.-S. Lu, "Analysis and Design of a 1.6-28-GHz Compact Wideband LNA in 90-nm CMOS Using a π-Match Input Network," *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 2092–2104, Aug. 2010.
- [6] P. Heydari, "Design and Analysis of a Performance-Optimized CMOS UWB Distributed LNA," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 1892–1905, Sep. 2007.
- [7] C.-W. Kim, M.-S. Kang, P. T. Anh, H.-T. Kim, and S.-G. Lee, "An Ultra-Wideband CMOS Low Noise Amplifier for 3-5-GHz UWB System," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 544–547, Feb. 2005.
- [8] M. T. Reiha and J. R. Long, "A 1.2 V Reactive-Feedback 3.1-10.6 GHz Low-Noise Amplifier in 0.13 μm CMOS," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 1023–1033, May 2007.
- [9] W.-H. Chen, G. Liu, B. Zdravko, and A. M. Niknejad, "A Highly Linear Broadband CMOS LNA Employing Noise and Distortion Cancellation,"





Fig. 12. Measured IM3 at 0.8 GHz.

IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 1164–1176, May 2008.

- [10] S. Woo, W. Kim, C.-H. Lee, K. Lim, and J. Laskar, "A 3.6mW Differential Common-Gate CMOS LNA with Positive-Negative Feedback," in *IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference*, Feb. 2009, pp. 218–219.
- [11] R. Ramzan, S. Andersson, J. Dabrowski, and C. Svensson, "A 1.4V 25mW Inductorless Wideband LNA in 0.13μm CMOS," in *IEEE Inlteraional Solid-State Circuits Conference*, Feb. 2007, pp. 424–613.
- [12] J. Borremans, P. Wambacq, and D. Linten, "An ESD-Protected DC-to-6GHz 9.7mW LNA in 90nm Digital CMOS," in *IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference*, Feb. 2007, pp. 422–423.
 [13] X. Wang, W. Aichholzer, and J. Sturm, "A 0.1 - 4GHz Resistive
- [13] X. Wang, W. Aichholzer, and J. Sturm, "A 0.1 4GHz Resistive Feedback LNA with Feedforward Noise and Distortion Cancelation," in *Proc. European Solid-State Circuits Conference*, Sept. 2010, pp. 406– 409.